

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,184	07/29/2003	Guy Beard	B0978.04U	7202
29633	7590 05/19/2004		EXAMINER	
	OWERS, P.A.	ROSENBAUM, IRENE CUDA		
1301 RIVERPLACE BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500 JACKSONVILLE, FL 32207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/629,184	BEARD, GUY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Irene Cuda-Rosenbaum	3726			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from I cause the application to become ABANDONEE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\boxtimes$ This	action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.		,			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration:					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-11 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 12-14</u> is/are objected to.					
8) 🔲	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit		d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	i the certified copies not received	1.			
Attachment(	(s)					
I) 🛛 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (I	PTO-413)			
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e			
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)	tent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3726

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants Admitted Prior Art in view of either JP 2-115362 or JP 11103914.

AAPA teaches, on page 1, line18- page 5, that shape memory alloys are known are explains that all of the treatment steps as claimed are the typical way in which shape memory alloys are treated. AAPA however, does not teach making jewelry out of shape memory alloys. However, Both JP'362 and JP914 teach that it si old and well known to use shape memory alloys in the production of jewelry for the purpose of having an article of jewelry that maintains its shape. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method as taught by AAPA by using it to make jewelry also, since to do so is old and well known in the art as taught by JP '362 and JP '914, for the purpose of maintaining the shape of the jewelry. Further, laser welding is an old an dwell known attachment technique and official notice is taken of such.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 3726

Claims 5-7 and 12 –14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Cuda-Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 703-308-1792. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 308-1148. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**ICR** 

SCAN